

IGNORING/VIOLATION OF LAWS OF CAUSE AND EFFECT**Ignorance of the Male's Responsibility****The Perpetual Mother**

From time to time the intensity and fervor of stirrings within me wanes; this state of spirit and mind devolves into something that is despicable to me in the main, and intolerable in the least. I find I can do little to prevent the decline; indeed, I can do nothing about its reversal, much less move myself back to a closeness and connectedness with the Warrior Himself. But He watches out for me and will not let me languish. A rare meeting with a men's group this past week had just such an effect.

Jesus used many everyday, physical examples to illustrate spiritual principles, such as wheat grains, weather patterns, wind, etc. He used the body to illustrate the organic interaction between His followers. Parts of the physical body are used to represent spiritual expressions, such as bowels, kidneys, etc. As we saw earlier, He used terms associated with physical forms (eating) to make His point with regard to the Word of God. The following is what came to me in the midst of being stirred at the men's meeting.

Out of the bosom of the Godhead was created male and female. Each had its own Divine individual and distinctive characteristics and functions. Each was created to enhance, complete and complement the other. The male was made one way, the female another. Neither was complete without the other. (All the above are truisms in the Godhead itself).

The male was to exhibit strength, decisiveness, protection (wariness of evil), provision, oversight, discipline and correction of the offspring; the female was to provide the spiritual tone of the home, carry, birth, nurture the child, and alongside with her husband, guide their offspring. Ideally, this rearing of offspring proceeds as follows: husband and wife mate, the female conceives. She carries the child inside, bears it in due course, and nurses it for a season until it is time to wean the child. In large measure the mother is the sole participant in the child's development the first year or so.

But as the child grows, it acquires aspects of life and maturity, talking, walking, and the like. When this happens, the child explores and learns of the world into which it is placed. For the child to be what God intended it to be, it requires teaching and training, discipline being uppermost (folly is bound up in the heart of a child). In the child's exploring, he encounters various things that are harmful, as well as forbidden. The mother handles a lot of this training early in his growth, but eventually the self-will and folly of the child begins to appear; for this, discipline and correction are required.

For this, the father alone is qualified, the mother being by nature a creator and a nurturer, is less inclined to deal with discipline requiring harsher penalties. Ideally, this format will produce a balanced child, whether male or female. The mother does her nurturing in the earlier phases of the child's growth and transfers the bulk of its training to the father.

[An insert here is salient; if we as adults are admonished in the Word to "fear the LORD," where in the world are we to acquire this mental attribute? –pull it out of the air from some sermon when we are 35 years old? Indeed, the Word says the "fear of the LORD is the beginning of wisdom (insight, discernment, knowledge, cunning, 'know-how', and all things pertaining to life itself"). This being true, where would you expect this attribute to become part of our makeup ?]

The primary goal of Satan is to disrupt this orderly and Godly pattern; his hatred of God predicates his schemes of perversion and substitution of the male and female roles; hence the attack on the family. If the Enemy can derail one male of any given family, and entice him to abdicate, that one male will influence not only his wife, but their children, both male and female. Thus one man's abdication produces a chain of fruit without proper balance. The destruction is multiplied many times over as the succeeding generations develop.

A child, whether male or female, will think, act, react, and emote as he or she is trained. If the child's training is never transferred, or transferred too late from the Godly auspices and nature of the mother to the Godly training, discipline and correction of the father, then that child will be malformed mentally and spiritually. A child who matures with a steady diet of female attributes will think that way as an adult. For a female, most of that is proper, except the female will have no fear of God instilled in her. As a consequence, she will have little respect for her husband-to-be, much less for her Heavenly Father. Ergo, matriarchy over her husband and children will result.

A male child reared the same way will be malformed mentally and spiritually as well. The male who receives from his mother a steady diet of mercy, grace, etc., and never has Godly correction or discipline instilled in him from the father, will have no fear of God. As a consequence, the male will think, act, react, emote and reason as a female. Male characteristics such as decision making, firmness, discipline, wariness and watchfulness of evil towards his family will be stunted. Additionally, male children reared by their mothers will, as an adult, have contempt for women, a hot temper, be indecisive and generally exhibit womanish patterns in dealing with life. Some will rear their own children to become brats, or worse. Some will become brutes. Some will shoot their fathers in the head. Some will become pedophiles. Some will abuse women. In all cases, save the LORD intervene, destruction waxes stronger and stronger.

Equally, a child reared exclusively under the auspices of a father will think that way as an adult. Usually the child will be skewed to the male side of behavior. However, in the year 2006, most of the single parent homes are female. That is not to say the females are solely at fault; indeed, ***the hard times of the majority of single women can be traced back to an abdicating male, either their husband or their father.***

I have no axe to grind here except the observance of male behavior in which I find a paucity of **Godly, male** attributes in today's society. I also find:

- the "church" is where most of this aberrant behavior manifests itself.
- most pagan male-like men outside the "church" hold it in contempt.
- the bulk of "church" male leaders think, teach, and behave effeminately.
- "grace," "mercy," "forgiveness," "love," "gentleness," "understanding," and "feelings" dominate male behavior and teaching in the church.
- there is a distinct lack of the fear of God in both male and female.
- there is little or no awareness of evil in the families, nor how to deal with it.
- there is little desire to admit the above, nor to appropriate the deliverance of Jesus into the true balance of the Godhead. (Romans 12)

The American male continues under the tutelage and domination of the mother, through and past the formative years, even into adulthood. He continues to be mothered, but never fathered. For various reasons, the spiritual testicles of the male are never developed by the father. As a result, the Body, via the male, never expects nor sees the approaching sword, nor the marauders from the north. Their families are decimated through attrition and attack from their blind side. The male is castrated, a spiritual eunuch.

***The pap is perpetuated past its prime; perversion now presents itself.
Weaning waxes woefully way beyond its window.
Males with the minds of their mothers mature into men with half their
equipment missing.***

Jesus spoke of a narrow road; that road has two bar ditches.

The ditch on the right side is the side of male perversion which is legalism at best, and brutality at worst. The right side has its own religious adherents and equally flavored denominations. Pagan society in general also has its own version.

The ditch on the left side is the side of female perversion; it contains greasy grace, warm and fuzzy emotionalism, false compassion and other misconstrued, malformed and misapplied "female" characteristics. It too has its religious adherents and similarly flavored denominations, as well as its equal in general society.

Both sides are wrong.

The Genderless Generation

At the end of January 2006, Rush Limbaugh elaborated on an entry in a www. blog site, wherein the subject was the "genderless" character of those who were in their 20s and 30s. Specifically, these "genderless" young males have a deficiency of character in their behavior historically normal to males; little focus, deep inconsistencies, poor self-confidence, and emotionally driven.

Now I bring this to the fore for little reason other than to state the obvious: even the current crowd is realizing the effeminacy of modern males. Call it what you will, it is just that.

Return in your minds to the period between WWI and WWII; wild profligacy during the Roaring 20s, Prohibition, abundant money and easy credit, women showing off their bodies, and men falling into a most secular way of living. Then came the financial crash of 1929, bank failures in the spring of '33, and an ensuing economic crash called the Great Depression, which lasted until the onset of WWII. Now the voiced consensus of those enduring the Great Depression lament how terrible it was. Yet, yet! –it was just those conditions during the Depression that formed the character of the males that fought in WWII. It was just those external conditions of austerity which produced internal strength of character necessary to endure and win such a brutal war.

Having said that, the “genderless,” effeminate males (so highlighted by their own peers) are a direct result of the absence of Godly fathers, a life of ease (no discipline), surfeit of food and drink, raiment, and money. In other words, it is the opposite of those during the Great Depression. Coincidence? -I think not.

But you say, “Do not the poor of our day exist under austere and deprived conditions?” Not really, especially as compared to yesteryear. There are tremendous differences that were extant during the '30s;

1. There was a predominantly rural economy and mindset before and during that time.
2. The precepts and morals of Jehovah God were prevalent and accepted.
3. There was a mutual co-dependency of the people (read interaction, giving, sharing with one another).
4. There was no “welfare” handed out indiscriminately to enslave those without a way to live. There were no Ladybird Johnsons and Jesse Jacksons to initiate and perpetuate the “victim” syndrome, nor to deceive the simple that someone owed them.
5. Instead, austere financial/physical/mental conditions acted as firm rods of discipline necessary for the forming of young men and women to have souls of character. Discipline has a peculiar way of polarizing and distinguishing male from female. A life of ease, entertainment and abundance are all conducive to weak men and non-feminine women.
6. The mirror image of these “genderless,” soft, effeminate young men is the antitype angry, resentful, abusive brute who is full of hate and contempt for women in general, and rightly mad because he had no real father.

So, all this leads to an inescapable conclusion. The conditions for producing strong young men and women do not currently exist, generally speaking, except in local and restricted pockets throughout the country. To a minor degree, the war in Iraq has formed a small subset of tried and tested young men and women through their training, discipline, and action. There are a few even in urban concrete jungles and vestigial rural settings who are without lives of ease; some will emerge with character.

But for the large portion of the bell curve, there are few Americans under external conditions that consistently produce masculine men and feminine women.

It is patently obvious where this overall pattern will lead, and very quickly. That end will be the conflict between the effeminate weenies and the angry brutes. Softness and indecision will meet violence and anger; the violent will decisively overrun the weak, whether on a local, national or international scale. Afterwards the violent will turn on themselves and self-destruct. We shall then return to those conditions that foment and shape true Godly men and women, who are individuals of deep conviction, strength and character. However, the numbers will be fractions of what once were in place.

This series of events has occurred in every prominent culture over and over since civilization began. Nearly identical patterns occurred in Sumer (Ur), Egypt, Phoenicia, Greece, Rome, France and England, and now the USA. That pattern is: (read across)

bondage	faith
liberty	prosperity
complacency	apathy
dependency	back to bondage

The stark difference is the *scale* of the life of ease in the USA, and the worldwide influence of our profligacy. Never before has there been an equal to the pandemic spread of our loose way of life. Hence, the scale and intensity of our demise will be commensurate, make no mistake about it.

April 23, 2006

There is, on this quiet Sunday morning, a sense of peace on this ranch. It is hard to imagine the degree of evil outside the gate. Everything teems with life this time of year; the heat of summer has yet to dampen that. The goodness of the Most High is uppermost in my mind, and the destruction at noonday is but a distant awareness. I find there is very little I can do at this juncture, explained by the preceding words. Jesus' words in the Revelation grow in their significance and application to me and mine. His words of warning, admonition and reproof build on the earlier words by Jeremiah, Habakkuk and others. The bittersweet words of Lamentations become more and more directly prophetic, and loom larger and larger on the horizon. This is by the Spirit of the Most High.

Ben-Issachar